



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,590	11/10/2003	Safwat Tadros	141621-1	6234

23413 7590 06/08/2006

CANTOR COLBURN, LLP
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002

EXAMINER

BUMGARNER, MELBA N

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3732

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/705,590

Applicant(s)

TADROS ET AL.

Examiner

Melba Bumgarner

Art Unit

3732

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 March 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-3 and 5-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chishti et al. (6,183,248) in view of Conn et al. (2002/0082360). Chishti et al. disclose an appliance 100 comprising a polymeric shell 102 that has cavities designed to receive teeth, the shell comprises a thermoplastic polymeric mixture that comprises polycarbonate and polyester; however, Chishti et al. do not show a specific polyester. Conn et al. teach a shell (sheet) comprising polycarbonate and cycloaliphatic polyester, and stain resistance of the polymeric shell [0029]. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to specify the type of polyester to cycloaliphatic polyester in order to provide a shell that is free of blister in view of Conn et al. Chishti et al. including an additional thermoplastic polymer of polyvinyl halide. Conn et al. show the final cycloaliphatic polyester as claimed [0011]. It would have been an obvious matter of choice to one of ordinary skill in the art as to the specific molecular weight of the polymeric mixture components as they are not disclosed as critical to the claimed invention. The polycarbonate is present in an amount of about 5 to about 45 weight percent and the cycloaliphatic polyester is present in the amount of about 55 to about 95 weight percent. Chishti et al. show the polymeric mixture has an elastic modulus of greater than or equal to about 1,500 Newtons/sq mm (column 6 line 15). It would

Art Unit: 3732

have been an obvious matter of choice as to the specific value or range of values of a property of the mixture in that it has not been defined and/or its criticality has not been described as it pertains to the claimed invention. Chishti et al. show the shell has a thickness of about 127 to about 1016 micrometers. The shell of Chishti et al. comprises two or more layers (column 6 line 48) and one layer comprises an elastomer. The appliance is part of a system of appliance (column 5 line 27).

3. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chishti et al. (5,975,893) in view of Chishti et al. ('248) and Conn et al. Chishti et al. disclose a method for maintaining or repositioning teeth comprising placing an appliance in a patient's mouth, the appliance comprises a polymeric shell having cavities designed to received teeth; however, Chishti et al. do not show the polymeric mixture. The polymeric mixture of Chishti et al. and Conn et al. show the polymeric mixture as claimed as described above. Additional appliances may be placed in a patient's mouth wherein a tooth position defined by a single cavity in each successive appliance differs from that defined in a prior appliance by an amount of no more than 2 millimeters (column 5 lines 8-22).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed March 13, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is believed that the claimed appliance comprises a stain resistant polymeric shell. Applicant argues that the prior art does not teach "a polycarbonate-cycloaliphatic polyester blend that is stain resistant." In fact what is claimed is a stain resistant polymeric shell comprising thermoplastic polymeric mixture comprising a polycarbonate and a cycloaliphatic polyester. The limitations of the polymeric shell are open ended, therefore does not exclude the addition of a

Art Unit: 3732

phosphite stabilizer to resist yellowing. Furthermore, the combination of references teaches or suggests all the limitations in the claims. It is not understood what is meant by applicant's remark "Conn teaches away from Chishti '893 as well as Chishti ('248)." Chishti shows the use of a genus of polymer and Conn et al. was combined to show a species in the genus of polymer. It is believed that a toxic form of stabilizer would not to be in the blend of Conn et al. that is intended to be used for pharmaceutical products and packaging food, which is taught by Conn et al.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 571-272-4709. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

Art Unit: 3732

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Melba Bumgarner".

Melba Bumgarner
Primary Examiner